

## SEPTEMBER 10, 2019 CPU AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING NOTES

### Non-Agenda Public Comment

- Non-Agenda public comment involved discussion of lawsuit in response to recently approved specific plans

### Draft Land Use Scenario and Draft Land Use Element

- Why were units added and why didn't you take them away from other areas?
- Problem with housing densities and calculations to constrain sites.
- Public feels proposal to increase intensity in Focus Area 4 was disrespectful and disingenuous.
- With density there needs to be bridge over Interstate 5
- The Planning Commission made a recommendation to look into form-based code
- When do we get to discuss infrastructure?
- Current traffic along Morena is at LOS F.
- We need to look closely at Industrial land use
- Can there be assurances that the density will require affordable housing?

### Draft Urban Design Element

- Issue with policies not being applied to non-discretionary projects
- For Urban Forestry – consider the use of native, drought tolerant plant species
- Will SANDAG replace the trees they removed?
- Maybe we should put an CPIOZ everywhere

### Draft Mobility Element

- Would like to see the bus people (MTS) here
- Liked seeing shuttles and closed loop systems in the community. Will help to get from to the trolley from the mesa.
- Discussion of micro-mobility is appreciated
- Thanks for including policy on continuing to work with SDGE for the green spine concept
- East side of Genesee Avenue between Chateau Dr. and Sauk Ave. is already fully funded no need to include
- Emphasize pedestrian safety and advocate for pedestrian crossing. Can we get a pedestrian bridge? We want the same consideration as Mission Valley.
- Conrad Ave at Genesee may have some issues with having safe traffic
- What are mobility impacts?
- How are TPAs defined? By as the crow flies or by walkshed?
- When are we going to talk about fire station and libraries?